



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,995	02/09/2004	Joshua Goodman	MS#306877.01 (5095)	2524
38779	7590	04/01/2008		
SENNIGER POWERS LLP (MSFT) ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, 16TH FLOOR ST. LOUIS, MO 63102			EXAMINER MAI, KEVIN S	
			ART UNIT 2152	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 04/01/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/774,995	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GOODMAN, JOSHUA	
	<b>Examiner</b> KEVIN S. MAI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2152	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN S. MAI.

(3) Tan-Chi (Arthur) Yuan (no. L0113).

(2) Philip Lee.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fergusson 2003/0212566 and Tucciarone 2004/0122730.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the differences between the amendment filed 3/6/2008 with respect to claims 1 and 12 and the prior arts of record. The applicant explains that the predetermined time limit defined by the identified location of the recipient is not suggested in the prior arts of record. Further search and reconsideration are still required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Philip C Lee/  
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.